


Martin Heidegger



PLATO'S *SOPHIST*

TRANSLATED BY Richard Rojcewicz AND André Schuwer



and former of *Journal of Philosophy* and *Journal of Education*

with the following publications:

Journal of Philosophy, 1938-1940
Journal of Education, 1941-1942

Journal of Philosophy, 1943-1944

Journal of Philosophy, 1945-1946
Journal of Education, 1947-1948

Journal of Philosophy, 1949-1950
Journal of Education, 1951-1952

Journal of Philosophy, 1953-1954
Journal of Education, 1955-1956

No part of this book may be reproduced or stored in a retrieval system, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher, except as may be permitted in writing by the publisher.

This book is published under the title of *Journal of Philosophy* and *Journal of Education* by the University of Chicago Press, 530 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60610.

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Journal of Philosophy, 1938-1940
Journal of Education, 1941-1942

Author: *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

Editor: *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

Series: *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

1. *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

2. *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

3. *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

4. *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

5. *Journal of Philosophy*, 1938-1940; *Journal of Education*, 1941-1942

0000-0000-0000-0000

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

a)	The object of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> : beings that always are (<i>πάντοτε</i>). <i>Ἐπιστήμη</i> as <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> of <i>ἀσφραγεία</i> . The interpretation of Being on the basis of time (<i>χρόνος</i> ; not, <i>αἶψα</i>).	22
b)	The position of the <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> in <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> (<i>Με. ΕΒ VI 3, Post. Αν. I 1</i>). The teachability of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> , <i>Ἀπόδειξις</i> and <i>ἐπιπέρας</i> . The presupposition of the <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> .	24
c)	<i>Πρόβλεξις</i> and <i>ἐπιπέρας</i> as the first ways of carrying out <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> . <i>Ἐπιστήμη</i> as the autonomous "ἡρώδης" of <i>ἀσφραγεία</i> .	26
§ 7	The analysis of <i>εἶργε</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 4</i>).	28
a)	The object of <i>εἶργε</i> : what is coming into being (<i>ἐπιπαύσει</i>).	28
b)	The position of the <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> in <i>εἶργε</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 4, Με. VII 7</i>). The double nature of <i>εἶργε</i> to its <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> <i>ἔσθαι</i> and <i>εἶργε</i> . The <i>κατάστασις</i> of the <i>εἶργε</i> .	28
c)	The <i>αἴτιος</i> as <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> or the <i>εἶργε</i> of <i>εἶργε</i> as a whole (<i>Με. VII 7</i>). <i>Νόημα</i> and <i>ἐπιπέρας</i> . <i>Ἐργε</i> as ground of the interpretation of Being through the <i>αἴτιος</i> .	31
§ 8	The analysis of <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 5</i>).	33
a)	The object of <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> : <i>Δασεία</i> itself. The determination of the <i>νόημα</i> of <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> as determination against the <i>αἴτιος</i> of <i>εἶργε</i> . Its relation to <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> : prior identity in <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> ; difference (<i>μοῖρα</i>) in <i>εἶργε</i> .	34
b)	<i>Φρόνησις</i> and <i>ἐπιπέρας</i> . <i>ἦδον</i> and <i>εἶργε</i> . <i>Συμπεριεῖν</i> . <i>Φρόνησις</i> as a struggle against <i>Δασεία</i> 's inherent tendency to cover itself over. <i>Φρόνησις</i> as non-autonomous <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> in the service of <i>αἴτιος</i> .	36
c)	The definition of <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> versus <i>εἶργε</i> and <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> . <i>Ἀπόδειξις</i> as <i>ἀπειρή</i> . <i>Φρόνησις</i> as "unshapable" <i>σύνεσις</i> . <i>Ἐπίστασις</i> as <i>ἀπειρή</i> <i>εἶργε</i> .	37
§ 9	The analysis of <i>μοῖρα</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 5-7</i>).	40
a)	The diastatic relation of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> , <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> , and <i>μοῖρα</i> to the <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 6</i>).	40
b)	<i>Νοῦσις</i> as <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> of the <i>ἀγγεῖ</i> (<i>Νε. ΕΒ VI 7</i>). <i>Ἐπίστασις</i> as <i>νοῦσις</i> and <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> .	41
c)	The further outline of the investigation. <i>Φρόνησις</i> and <i>μοῖρα</i> as the highest modes of <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> . The priority of <i>μοῖρα</i> . The origin of this priority in the natural understanding of Greek <i>Δασεία</i> . The phenomenology of <i>Δασεία</i> as the method of the investigation. <i>Ἐπίστασις</i> : clarification of the term and history of the concept.	42
Chapter Ten		
The Genesis of <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> itself: <i>Νοῦσις</i> <i>Ἐπίστασις</i> <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> <i>εἶργε</i> <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> <i>μοῖρα</i> (<i>Με. I 1 2</i>)		
§ 10	Introductory characterization of the investigation. Its guiding line: the self-expression of <i>Δασεία</i> itself. Its course: the five levels of <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> . Its goal: <i>μοῖρα</i> as <i>μυῖστα</i> <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> .	45
§ 11	The first three levels of <i>ἀκρίβεια</i> : <i>ἐπιπέρας</i> , <i>εἶργε</i> (<i>Με. I 1</i>).	48
a)	<i>Ἀπόδειξις</i> . The priority of <i>ἀπειρή</i> . <i>Ἀπειρή</i> as a condition of learning. <i>Μετρεῖν</i> and <i>ἀπόδειξις</i> .	50

7) ἵεριστος . The referential connection: as soon as then. Its original character.	41
8) ἵεριστος . The modifications of the referential connection. The extraction of the $\delta\lambda\omicron\sigma\varsigma$ $\delta\lambda\omicron$ form. Because therefore. ἵεριστος and καταστατικὸς ἵεριστος .	51
§ 12. Ἐκείνου, καθόλου, and self-beating . The way of philosophy (especially Met. V, 28; Top. VI, 4; Phys. I, 1).	54
a) The manifold meanings of ἕκαστος . Καθόλου as ἕκαστος (Met. V, 28).	54
b) The mode of access as ἕκαστος between self-beating and καθόλου . Ἀεθρῆσις and ἕκαστος . ἵεριστος $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ and ἕκαστος $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\omicron\varsigma$. The way of philosophy (according to Top. VI, 4 and Met. VII, 3) from self-beating to καθόλου .	57
c) The way of philosophy (Phys. I, 1). From the καθόλου to the self-beating . Resolution of the supposed contradiction between Top. VI, 4 and Phys. I, 1.	59
§ 13. Continuation: ἵεριστος and ἕκαστος (Met. I, 3). The tendency residing in $\delta\lambda\omicron\sigma\varsigma$ toward an "omniscience" ἕκαστος . The further development of ἕκαστος .	62
§ 14. ἑσῆσι (Met. I, 2). The four essential moments of ἑσῆσι (ἕκαστος , καταστατικὸς , ἕκαστος , ἕκαστος). Clarifying reduction of the first three essential moments to the ἕκαστος ἕκαστος .	65
§ 15. Ἐκείνου . General orientation regarding the essence of mathematics according to Aristotle.	69
a) Fundamental issues in mathematics in general (Phys. II, 2). ἕκαστος as the basic act of mathematics. Critique of the ἕκαστος in Plato's theory of ideas.	64
b) The distinction between geometry and arithmetic. The increasing "abstraction" from the ἕκαστος (ἕκαστος = ἕκαστος ἕκαστος = ἕκαστος ἕκαστος).	71
a) ἕκαστος and ἕκαστος (according to Phys. V, 1-8). The absolute determinateness (ἕκαστος) of ἕκαστος , the relative determinateness (ἕκαστος ἕκαστος) of ἕκαστος . The essence of ἕκαστος , ἕκαστος and ἕκαστος (ἕκαστος) of the proper being of a being.	72
b) The genesis of geometry and arithmetic from ἕκαστος . The extraction of geometrical objects by extraction of the ἕκαστος (ἕκαστος) of the ἕκαστος ἕκαστος . The determination of their ἕκαστος ἕκαστος ἕκαστος .	73
γ) The structure of the connection of the manifold in geometry and arithmetic ἕκαστος and ἕκαστος .	77
αα) The phenomena of co-presence as ἕκαστος ἕκαστος (Phys. V, 3).	77
ββ) The structure of connection in the geometrical and the arithmetical ἕκαστος and ἕκαστος .	79
γγ) Consequences for the connecting of the manifold in geometry and arithmetic (Cat., 4).	81

b) Practical $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ (N); EA VI.9, III, 5; $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as the grasping of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha$. Comparison with $\delta\iota\alpha\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\omicron\varsigma$ in geometry. Modes of $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$. Cosmological and practical $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$.	110
c) $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ and $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ as opposite highest modes of $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ (= $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$). Act and the economy. Prospect $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$. Aristotle and Plato.	112
§ 24. The decision on the question of the priority of $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ or $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ in favor of $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ (N; 12b, VI, 17).	114
a) The difficulty of the decision: merits and deficiencies of $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ and $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$. The question of the relation to human Dasein. The autonomy and non-autonomy of the $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$.	114
b) Criteria for the decision. The rank of the $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as such. The autonomy of the "accomplishment" (=merit) $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ as $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha$ of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$. Ontological priority according to the Greek concept of Being.	116
§ 25. The priority of $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ with regard to $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ (N; EA, X, 6-7).	116
a) The idea of $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ (N; EA, X, 6). The ontological meaning of $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as the fulfilled Being of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$.	118
b) The structural moments of $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ and their fulfillment through the $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha$ of $\mu\omicron\lambda\eta\alpha$ ($\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$) (N; EA, X, 7).	119
§ 26. Extent and limit of $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$.	123
a) $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha$; $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$. The grasping of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha$ by $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$.	123
b) $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ and $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$.	124
α) $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ ($\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$) and $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ ("judgment") (Z; Ia, chapter 4; De An. II, 8).	124
β) Rejection of $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ as the proper place of truth. $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ as $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ without $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$. The $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$, $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as the place of $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha$. The synthetic structure of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$, $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as the condition of $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha$.	125
γ) Critique of the traditional theory of judgment. $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ and $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as basic structures of the $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$, $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\tau\omicron\varsigma$ in general.	126
δ) The $\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon$ as a character of Being as $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\eta\alpha\tau\omicron\varsigma$ (N; VI, 2 and 5).	128

TRANSITION

Definition of the Thematic Field, with $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$ as the Point of Departure

§ 27. What has been accomplished up to now and its future task. What has been accomplished: the acquisition of the point of departure (= $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$). The task: the delimitation of the theme, with $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$ in Plato (= $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$) as the point of departure. First indication of the theme: a revolution in the concept of Being: the being of non-beings (= $\lambda\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omicron\varsigma$).	131
§ 28. First characterization of dialectic in Plato.	135

a) <i>ἀληθὴς</i> as <i>ἀληθῆρες</i> : repetition and confirmation of what has been established about <i>λόγος</i> ; rejection of <i>λόγος</i> as the proper place of truth; <i>λόγος</i> as the most immediate mode of <i>ἀλήθεια</i> and as concealing truth. The basic meaning of "dialectic," breaking through the truth, tendency toward seeing (seeing)	138
b) Critique of the traditional conception of dialectic. Dialectic: not a technique of deriving but a preliminary stage of seeing. Aristotle's position with regard to dialectic	137
c) The meanings of the expression " <i>λόγος</i> " in Plato	139
§ 29. Addendum: The innovation in Plato's <i>Σοφιστ.</i> with regard to the ground of the Greek research into Being	141
a) The double guiding line of the research into Being in Plato's <i>Σοφιστ.</i> : concrete Dasein (the philosopher, the sophist) <i>λόγος</i>	141
b) <i>λόγος</i> as guiding line of Aristotle's research into Being ("ontology")	142
§ 30. Aristotle on philosophy, dialectic, sophistry (<i>Met. IV, 1-2</i>)	144
a) The idea of first philosophy, first philosophy as the science of <i>ὅτι ἢ ὄν</i> . Determination of first philosophy versus the special sciences: Being as <i>ὄν ὡς ὄν</i> . The ancients' research into the origin. Further structures of Being. First and second philosophy	144
b) Delineation of dialectic and sophistry versus first philosophy. The common object of dialectic, sophistry, and philosophy: the "whole." How dialectic and sophistry are distinct from philosophy: philosophy = <i>ἡγεμονική</i> , dialectic = <i>ἀναγναστική</i> ; sophistry = <i>σοφιστική</i> <i>μετά</i> (<i>τὸ</i> <i>λόγος</i>)	147
§ 31. First characterization of sophistry. Continuation	149
a) The idea of <i>σοφιστή</i> in sophistry and in Aristotle. <i>ἔστι</i> <i>λόγος</i> . Concern with substantive content and unconcern with substantive content. Predilection of objections as the ground of sophistry	149
b) Critique of the traditional interpretation of sophistry	150
c) Sophistry and rhetoric. Plato's position on rhetoric as distinct from Aristotle's. Their common judgment on sophistry: <i>ἀναγναστική</i> <i>μετά</i>	151
d) <i>Ἀλήθεια</i> as ground of the question of <i>ὅτι ὄν</i> (= <i>ὄν ὡς ὄν</i>)	152
§ 32. Continuation: The idea of first philosophy in Aristotle	153
a) First philosophy as ontology (<i>Met. I, 2</i>) and as dialectic. Explication of this duality on the basis of the Greek understanding of Being (= presence)	153
b) <i>λόγος</i> as guiding line of the research into Being carried out by <i>σοφιστή</i> . Explication of the guiding function of <i>λόγος</i> on the basis of the Greek understanding of Being	155

MAIN PART

Plato's Ascent into Being
Interpretation of the Sophist

Preliminary Remarks

- § 33. The meaning of the preceding preparation: the acquisition of the ground for an understanding of the issues in specific Greek dialogues. The insufficiencies of the preparation. 157
- § 34. Recapitulation. First characterization of sophistry. Definition of sophistry against dialectic and philosophy. The appreciation of the *alētheia* *alētheia* concern with substantive content versus concern with substantive content. 159
- § 35. Structure and articulation of the Sophist. 160
- a) General characterization of the structure of the Sophist. The traditional division: introduction, shell, kernel. *Acceptatio* and critique. 160
- b) The articulation of the Sophist according to H. Bonzel. 161

INTRODUCTION

The Prelude to the Dialogue
(Sophist 216a–219d)

- § 36. First intimation of the theme and method of the dialogue. Introduction of the *lyōgōs* from Eira. The fundamental thesis of Parmenides: *ὄντις ἀσθενέσθω?* The elusiveness of philosophy. Theme of the dialogue: the philosopher. Method: *ἐπιπέσειν τὸ πρῶτον*. The ground of *ἐπιπέσειν*: immediate self-showing (*ὄντις ὁρατός*) and popular opinion: *εἰδόμενον* = *ἐπιπέσειν-ἐπιπέσειν-πῶς*. 163
- § 37. More precision on the theme. Explication of the thematic object of a question in general: the distinction between the matter at issue (*τὸ*), the determination of the matter (*πῶς*), and the designation of the matter (*ὅτι*). *Alētheia* as the unitary field of the threshold distinction. Task: application of this distinction to three objects: *ἐπιπέσειν*—*ἐπιπέσειν*—*ἐπιπέσειν*. 170
- § 38. More precision on the method. 172
- a) *Alētheia* as the method of the investigation. The type of *alētheia* raised from between dialogue and monological monism. Introduction of *Thesaurus* as collection. Agreement about the initial theme: the sophist. General rule of the method: *ἀπὸ τοῦ αἰετοῦ εἰς τὸν ἀνθρώπου*. The linking of substantive thinking and methodological thinking in Plato. 172
- b) Facilitation of *alētheia* as a basic task of the Greeks. Denotation of propositional logic over *alētheia*. 174
- § 39. The question of philosophy in the present age. Increasing difficulty with regard to Plato. The influence of Christianity and the Renaissance. The stilling of the idea of substantive research: "Prophetic" and "epiphany" philosophy (K. Ingers). The freedom of substantiveness. 175

§ 41. Transition to the substantive basis: the choice of the exemplary object. The twofold criterion: 1.) simplicity 2.) analogy and richness of the ontological structures. The <i>ἀντιμωρῶς</i> as exemplary object.	178
--	-----

SECTION LINE

The Search for the Idea of the Factual Existence of the *Ναῦκος*
(Suppl. 225a-227b)

Chapter One

An Example of the Method of Defining: The Definition of
the *ἀντιμωρῶς* (225a-227a)

§ 41. The scope of the exemplary object (<i>ἀντιμωρῶς</i>) and its method of treatment. The <i>Ναῦκος</i> : not a "purely methodological dialogue."	181
§ 42. <i>ἔργον</i> as the basic determination of the <i>ἀντιμωρῶς</i> in two <i>εἶδη</i> (<i>ποιεῖν</i> and <i>κτενεῖν</i>).	182
a) <i>ἔργον</i> as the basic determination of the <i>ἀντιμωρῶς</i> : The <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἀπόβη</i> (the phenomenon serving as point of departure) as "possession." <i>ἔργον</i> : knowing-how to do something or other. (e.g., <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἔργον</i> , <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἔργον</i> , <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἔργον</i>).	182
b) The first <i>εἶδος</i> of <i>ἔργον</i> (<i>ποιεῖν</i>)	184
a) Adjoining the phenomena: Exposition of the one identical basic phenomenon <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἔργον</i> .	184
b) Outlook: the meaning of Being for the Greeks: Being (<i>ἔσθαι</i>) = presence, to be available, to be produced. <i>ἄφρονος</i> <i>ἔργον</i> = to produce, create. Reaching out the meaning of Being from the surrounding world: The natural ontology of <i>ἄφρονος</i> , <i>ἄφρονος</i> , and <i>ἄφρονος</i> .	185
c) The second <i>εἶδος</i> of <i>ἔργον</i> (<i>κτενεῖν</i>)	186
a) Adjoining the phenomena: Exposition of the one identical basic phenomenon <i>κτενεῖν</i> (appropriating). The basic possibilities of appropriating: 1.) <i>ἄφρονος</i> , 2.) <i>ἄφρονος</i> .	188
b) Outlook: the Greek understanding of <i>ἄφρονος</i> , <i>ἄφρονος</i> as appropriation of the truth of things.	190
c) <i>ἄφρονος</i> and <i>κτενεῖν</i> as modes of coexistence with the world: The structure of the coexistence of <i>ἄφρονος</i> with the world as the horizon for an interpretation.	191
§ 43. The determination of <i>ἔργον</i> (<i>κτενεῖν</i>).	192
a) The determination of <i>κτενεῖν</i> in terms of its "how." The possible modes of appropriation: <i>ἄφρονος</i> (<i>ἄφρονος</i>), <i>ἄφρονος</i> (<i>ἄφρονος</i>).	192
b) The determination of <i>κτενεῖν</i> in terms of its "what." Living things.	194
c) Further determination of <i>ἄφρονος</i> in terms of its "how." Summary: history of the presence of the <i>ἀντιμωρῶς</i> .	196

- § 44. General characterization of the method. Dichotomy and dialectic as modes of *diagnosis*. The echo of the Platonic dichotomy in the *diagnosis* of Aristotle. Dichotomy and dialectic as Plato's way of treating being and being. 157

Chapter Two

The Definitions of the Sophist. Definitions 1–4 (221e–226a)

- § 45. Preliminary remarks. The difficulty of defining the sophist. The indeterminateness of the *diagnosis* *epistēmē*. The meaning of the definition: the securing of the immediate aspects (*epitēdeia*) of the sophist in the usual horizon. Actually not definitions but descriptions. Articulation of the definitions. 200
- § 46. The first definition of the sophist: hunter (221e–223b). *Diagnosis* *epistēmē* *epistēmē*. The common course of the history of the origination of the sophist and of the *diagnosis*: *epistēmē*—*epistēmē*—*epistēmē*—*epistēmē*. Distinction with respect to the "what" of the *epistēmē* man. Factual component as the standard. *Diagnosis* as the tool of the sophist. Rhetoric as horizon. *Diagnosis* *epistēmē* *epistēmē*. 202
- § 47. The second, third, and fourth definitions of the sophist: merchant (223b–224a). 206
- a) The second definition. Retailer (223b–223d). Link to the first definition (*epistēmē* *epistēmē* *epistēmē*)—*epistēmē*—*epistēmē*. Trading in *diagnosis* and *epistēmē* *epistēmē* *epistēmē*. *Diagnosis* as the sophist's merchandise. 209
- b) The third and fourth definitions. Shopkeeper (224d–e). The differentiation of the third definition (shopkeeper) according to the summaries of the definitions (225c). Trading in: 1) foreign or 2) self-produced *diagnosis*. Increasing concentration of the definitions of the sophist on *diagnosis*. 209
- § 48. The fifth definition of the sophist: Disputer (224e–225a). Orientation toward the horizon of the definition of the *diagnosis*: *epistēmē*—*epistēmē*—*epistēmē*. Battle by means of *diagnosis*. *Diagnosis* as the basic phenomenon of the definitions of the sophist: recapitulation, *epistēmē*, *epistēmē*. The battle (Thrasymachus, Chomsky, 2). 210
- § 49. Transition to the further task, orientation with regard to Plato's position on *diagnosis* by means of a clarification of his position on rhetoric. 212

Chapter Three

Curriculum

Curriculum regarding Plato's Position on *Diagnosis*:
Plato's Position on *Diagnosis*:
Interpretation of the Phaedrus

- § 50. Introductory remarks. 214
- a) Plato's ambiguous attitude toward rhetoric. General characterization. Rhetoric before Plato: *epistēmē* *epistēmē*. Plato's attitude negative in the *Gorgias*, positive in the *Phaedrus*. 214

b)	The commercial character of the <i>Phaedrus</i> ; Schliermacher's theses about the <i>Phaedrus</i> and about Plato in general. The beginnings of historiographical-critical research into Plato, Dörflinger and Schleiermacher.	215
§ 71	General characterization of the <i>Phaedrus</i> .	218
a)	The putative disparity and the central theme of the <i>Phaedrus</i> : human Dasein itself in its relation to Being (love, beauty, the soul, speech).	218
b)	General characterization of the first part of the <i>Phaedrus</i> . The circumstantial significance of <i>lógos</i> for the central theme of the <i>Phaedrus</i> . Socrates' love for <i>lógos</i> , for the speaking, as a passion for self-knowledge.	219
c)	General characterization of the second part of the <i>Phaedrus</i> : Its articulation into three moments (Rhetoric and truth, truth and dialectic – Rhetoric as <i>epigrammata</i> , Plato's positive evaluation of <i>lógos</i> , Outlook: his skepticism with regard to <i>lógos</i> as "writing.")	221
§ 72	Reflection on the sense of the interpretation of the <i>Phaedrus</i> in connection with that of the <i>Sophist</i> : Gaining a fundamental grasp of the meaning of <i>lógos</i> as the field of scientific philosophy for the Greeks. Transition to the interpretation of the second part of the <i>Phaedrus</i> .	222
§ 73	The foundation of rhetoric as a positive possibility of human Dasein (<i>Phaedrus</i> , second part, 259e–274e).	224
a)	The seeing of the truth as a condition of the possibility of rhetoric.	224
a)	The question of the condition of the possibility of rhetoric. $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\alpha\iota\ \eta\ \alpha\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iota\alpha\ \lambda\acute{o}\gamma\omega\varsigma\ \alpha\iota\sigma\theta\eta\tau\iota\kappa\alpha\ \chi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha\iota$.	224
b)	The essence of the <i>lógos</i> . General characterization. Its structure: <i>lógos</i> as <i>epigramma</i> . Its object: the "essential" things.	225
b)	The seeing of the truth by means of dialectic. General characterization of dialectic. The two component parts of dialectic: <i>anagōgē</i> and <i>hupogōgē</i> , $\lambda\acute{o}\gamma\omega\varsigma\ \alpha\iota\sigma\theta\eta\tau\iota\kappa\alpha\ \alpha\sigma\chi\epsilon\tau\iota\kappa\alpha$. Dialectic as a condition of the possibility of rhetoric.	228
c)	Rhetoric as <i>epigrammata</i> . The conditions of its possibility and its justification. Summary: dialectic as the ground of rhetoric.	232
d)	Plato and Aristotle on rhetoric.	233
§ 74	Plato's skepticism with regard to <i>lógos</i> (<i>Phaedrus</i> , second part, 274b–275c).	235
a)	The ontological possibility of free-floating <i>lógos</i> .	235
b)	The critique of writing. The legend of Theuth. Writing as substitution of $\alpha\lambda\eta\theta\eta\ \lambda\acute{o}\gamma\omega\varsigma$ $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\alpha\varsigma\ \theta\acute{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\eta\varsigma$. Writing as mere impetus ($\epsilon\pi\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota\varsigma$). The silence and defectiveness of the written <i>lógos</i> . Genuine and written <i>lógos</i> . The written <i>lógos</i> as <i>εἰκασίαν</i> .	235
c)	Plato's position on <i>lógos</i> in the "Seventh Letter."	239
d)	The correct condition of the <i>lógos</i> as presupposition for genuine <i>lógos</i> ($\theta\epsilon\omega\tau\iota\kappa\acute{o}\theta\eta\kappa\alpha\iota$).	240
§ 75	Transition: Dialectic in the <i>Phaedrus</i> and in the <i>Sophist</i> .	241

a) Result and limits of the characterization of dialectic in the <i>Phaedrus</i> , Plato and Aristotle on dialectic and rhetoric.	241
b) The motive for the further development of dialectic in the <i>Sophist</i> : the differentiation of the "object" of dialectic: Being—being and ontological structure.	243

Chapter Four

The Definition of the *Sophist*: Sixth and Seventh Definition (226a–230c)

§ 36. The sixth definition of the <i>sophist</i> : Refuter (226a–227c).	245
a) The question of the classification of the sixth definition. The concrete structure of the definitions. The sixth definition as a union of the fifth and the seventh definitions (<i>synthetesis</i>).	245
b) Formal definition of the way of the sixth definition. <i>Dialaxis</i> : To take apart (<i>diakatainō</i>)—to set in order (<i>diatagō</i>)—to extract—to render less, to partly (<i>diolōpō</i>). <i>Therapeia</i> of the genuine object of <i>epistēmē</i> , <i>dyonon</i> , <i>Katharsis</i> , as <i>ergon</i> .	247
c) Detailed depiction of the path of the sixth definition.	249
α) The differentiation of the <i>diolōpō</i> with respect to the <i>sophist's</i> object (<i>pragma</i>). <i>Katharsis</i> of the body and <i>epilōpō</i> of the <i>pragma</i> . Remark on <i>dialaxis</i> : <i>Katharsis</i> , as <i>tekhē</i> <i>thē</i> , <i>sofia</i> .	249
β) The determination of the <i>voce</i> in the <i>pragma</i> , with the <i>techē</i> as <i>gōnōn thē</i> .	252
αα) The <i>voce</i> of the <i>techē</i> : <i>Sōphrosynē</i> and <i>epistēmē</i> . <i>Sōphrosynē</i> <i>epistēmē</i> (<i>anistrotōtēs</i>). <i>Ligotēs</i> <i>epistēmē</i> , <i>frōnētikē</i> (<i>deuteron</i>). <i>Directness-toward</i> as condition of the possibility of the <i>epistēmē</i> of a <i>compositum</i> : general structural analysis.	252
ββ) The <i>epistēmē</i> is the <i>pragma</i> <i>dyonon</i> : Structural analysis of <i>voce</i> . The orientation (<i>epistēmē</i>) of <i>voce</i> toward the <i>dyonon</i> . "A <i>voce</i> as <i>epistēmē</i> in the <i>pragma</i> . <i>Alētheiā</i> as <i>enōche</i> ."	254
γγ) <i>Directness-toward</i> as an original structure of <i>Dasein</i> as <i>Being-in</i> (<i>Being-in-a-world</i>). The Greek discovery of <i>Being-in</i> . The Greek interpretation of <i>existence</i> as illuminated from the "world." The darkness of the history of anthropological questioning (<i>IN they</i>). The ontology of <i>Dasein</i> as presupposition for an insight into this questioning.	255
δ) The determination of the <i>epistēmē</i> of <i>dyonon</i> .	257
αα) The <i>epistēmē</i> of <i>dyonon</i> as <i>dehōtōtēs</i> .	257
ββ) Further determination of <i>dyonon</i> : "A <i>voce</i> as <i>hōtōtēs</i> , as prescriptive knowledge and intention, of the actual <i>voce</i> in the <i>pragma</i> ."	257
γγ) Further determination of <i>dehōtōtēs</i> as <i>epistēmē</i> of <i>dyonon</i> : Not a communication of knowledge but a liberation toward <i>alētheiā</i> : <i>anōche</i> . <i>Alētheiā</i> as essential element of <i>noēsis</i> . Its types: <i>enōcheiōtēs</i> (<i>adnoēsiōtēs</i>) and <i>elōcheiōtēs</i> . Rejection of <i>voce</i> (<i>technē</i>).	259

§5. The <i>αἰθέριος</i> of the <i>ἔργα</i> by <i>Ἰάγγος</i> . The procedure of <i>Ἰάγγος</i> . Setting the <i>ἔργα</i> against each other through the <i>μελέτη αἰ ἔσ</i> . Rejection of the proposed discovery of the principle of contradiction. Its discovery in <i>Ἀριστοτέλ</i> . The <i>ἐπέδη</i> of <i>ἔργα</i> as <i>μυθῶν</i> <i>αἰε</i> <i>αἰε</i> <i>αἰε</i> . The liberation of <i>Ἄνθρωπος</i> toward <i>ἄσθενεια</i> .	251
d) The result of the such dialectic philosophy as "legitimate sophistry." The similarity between philosophy and sophistry. The <i>ἀπορία</i> in relation to the sophist.	263
§ 57. Summary of the previous six definitions. The arbitrary basic structure: the sophist as <i>ὀρθόλογος</i> (221a–222a).	264
§ 58. The seventh definition of the sophist. Semblant <i>αἰε</i> (222b–226c).	265
a) The "object" of sophistical <i>ἔργα</i> : <i>ἡ ἀλήθεια</i> .	265
ii) Enumeration of the individual "objects" of sophistical <i>ἔργα</i> . The orientation of Greek-Platonic philosophy.	265
β) Explication of the <i>ἔργα</i> <i>δοξαστικῶς</i> as a mode of commerce with things. The structural moments of this commerce (the objects—the <i>μελέτη</i> —the end, <i>αἰ ἔσ</i>). The primacy of the <i>αἰ ἔσ</i> in <i>Ἄνθρωπος</i> .	267
γ) First intimation of the ontological problematic of the <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μελέτη</i> : the being of non-beings.	269
b) Concrete demonstration of the factual Being of the <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μελέτη</i> from the example of <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> .	269
ii) The factual Being of the <i>ἔργα</i> <i>δοξαστικῶς</i> as <i>ἀληθινῶς</i> <i>ἔσ</i> .	269
β) <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> as <i>μελέτη</i> <i>ἔσ</i> . <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μελέτη</i> as <i>μελέτη</i> <i>ἔσ</i> .	270
γ) The classification of the sophistical <i>ἔργα</i> within <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μελέτη</i> as <i>ἀσθενεια</i> . The sophist as <i>μυθῶν</i> . <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> as <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> . The one identical basic meaning of <i>μελέτη</i> , <i>μυθῶν</i> , <i>ἔσ</i> : to let be seen. The sense of Being for the <i>ἄσθενεια</i> : presence.	272
c) Sharpened demonstration of the factual Being of the <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μελέτη</i> out of the horizon of <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> .	273
ii) The two types of <i>ἔργα</i> <i>μυθῶν</i> : <i>ἄσθενεια</i> and <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . The two types of <i>ἄσθενεια</i> : <i>μελέτη</i> and <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . The impossibility of clarifying the phenomenon of knowledge through the phenomenon of the image. Husserl's elucidation of the image.	275
β) The relation between the image (<i>εἰκῶν</i>) and the imaged (<i>ἔσ</i>) in <i>ἄσθενεια</i> and <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . The determination of both types of <i>ἄσθενεια</i> : <i>μελέτη</i> and <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . The enhancement of non-being in <i>ἄσθενεια</i> . The indispensability of the being of non-beings.	277
γ) The complete <i>ἀπορία</i> of grasping the sophist. The sophist's hiding in the darkness of <i>μῦθος</i> . The further task: the discovery of the <i>εἰκῶν</i> of <i>ἔσ</i> .	278

PART ONE

Classical Decision

The Logic of Preference (Savage, 1954, 1964)

Introduction

Savage (1954)

1.1	Existence of the rational A preference	14
1.1a	Summary of the method: the axioms of decision on the system \mathcal{A} formed on the set of states Ω (p. 14)	14
1.1b	Existence of the rational A preference of states (p. 14–15)	15
1.1c	The axiomatic properties of the A preference: the logic of state preference (p. 15)	15
1.2	The solution of preference by the method	18
1.2a	Complete consistency in the choice: the introduction of the sufficient consistency required research into the nature themselves of the operations of the A preference (p. 18–19)	18
1.2b	The solution of complete consistency to the problem: the “the solution” of the decision problem (the representation of the preference itself) (p. 19–20)	19

Savage (1964)

The Logic of Preference (Savage, 1964, 1967)

1.1	Existence of the rational A preference: the introduction of \mathcal{A}	14
1.1a	First solution of the definition of the rational A preference (the first solution) (p. 14–15)	14
1.1b	First solution of the definition of the rational A preference (the second solution) (p. 15–16)	15
1.1c	First solution of the definition of the rational A preference (the third solution) (p. 16–17)	16
1.1d	First solution of the definition of the rational A preference (the fourth solution) (p. 17–18)	17
1.1e	First solution of the definition of the rational A preference (the fifth solution) (p. 18–19)	18
1.2	Introduction of the rational A preference	19
1.2a	The solution of consistency and the solution: the solution of the problem of being in \mathcal{A} (p. 19–20)	19
1.2b	The solution of consistency and the solution: the solution of the problem of being in \mathcal{A} (p. 20–21)	20

Savage (1967)

The Logic of Preference (Savage, 1967, 1968, 1971)

The Logic of Preference (Savage, 1967, 1968, 1971)

Introduction

1.1	The solution of consistency for the solution of the data: the solution of the problem and the solution of the problem (p. 19–20)	19
-----	--	----

a) Being-known as the <i>epistēmē</i> of <i>ousia</i> .	332
β) The <i>epistēmē</i> of <i>epistēmē</i> , <i>ousia</i> , <i>form</i> , and <i>essence</i> in the <i>metaphysics</i> <i>δ</i> .	333
c) The question of Plato's confrontation with the young Aristotle.	334
a) The Aristotelian moments in Plato's ontological research: the <i>epistēmē</i> as ground: the inclusion of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> .	334
β) Plato's own relation: Presence as the basic meaning of Being in the two preceding positions: Plato's concept of Being: <i>παρουσία</i> <i>ἐπιδήμιος</i> <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> .	336
<i>III. The Discussion of the Summary of the Thesis about δ</i> (248–253a)	
§ 70. The summary of the thesis about <i>δ</i> with regard to the phenomenon of knowledge: The Being of <i>epistēmē</i> and <i>ousia</i> as condition of the Being of knowledge.	337
§ 71. The discussion of the thesis: <i>epistēmē</i> and <i>ousia</i> = <i>δ</i> .	339
a) Characterization of the situation: Reversion to the position of the ancient thesis: <i>δ</i> = <i>ἐπέ</i> , <i>ἄπιστος</i> (<i>ἐπιστήμη</i>).	339
β) The solution of the difficulty by means of the concept of the <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> <i>συνεχής</i> .	338
a) The avoidance of the coincidence of <i>epistēmē</i> and <i>ousia</i> by means of the <i>epistēmē</i> <i>ἀπείρα</i> of <i>δ</i> .	339
β) The more precise determination of the structure of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> , <i>ἐπίστασις</i> and <i>δύναμις</i> as structural moments of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> : The connection of <i>δ</i> with <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> and <i>ousia</i> .	341
γ) The cognition of the <i>epistēmē</i> (= essential cognition) in Plato: Critique of the Kantianizing misinterpretations: On the genesis of Neoplatonism: <i>δ</i> as <i>epistēmē</i> in the <i>Sophist</i> and the influence of Neoplatonism.	342
c) The heightening of the difficulty of the elucidation of <i>δ</i> through the positing of <i>δ</i> as <i>epistēmē</i> : The circularity of the difficulty in relation to <i>δ</i> and its relation to <i>δ</i> . On the question of the interpretation of the transition.	343
<i>Chapter Three</i>	
<i>The Positive Resolution of the Problem by Means of the <i>epistēmē</i> <i>ἐπέ</i> <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> (251a–264a)</i>	
§ 72. The question of the unity of the many (<i>πολλοί</i>) in <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> (251a–253a).	346
§ 73. Excursus: The “logic” of the Megarians and Antisthenes (according to Aristotle).	348
a) Antisthenes' interpretation of <i>ἐπιστήμη</i> , <i>ἀπείρα</i> as simple <i>ἐπέ</i> : the denial of <i>ἐπίστασις</i> .	348

b) λόγος ἀποδοξίς in Aristotle. λόγος ἀποδοξίς as "doxastic" "fictionion." The distinction between two kinds of λόγος in Aristotle: λόγος ἐπιτελής and λόγος ἐπιμακρῶν. The synthetic structure of λόγος as a condition of the possibility of λόγος ἀποδοξίς. Aristotle's denial of λόγος ἀποδοξίς.	349
c) Prospect: the synthetic structure of λόγος in Plato. The double negation.	351
d) The positive meaning of Aristotelian doctrine of λόγος for Aristotle. Aristotle's discovery of the λόγος τῶν ἀντιθέτων. The discovery of the plus, and its presupposition.	352
§ 74. The discussion of the fundamental possibilities of <i>synthesis</i> within beings (251d–252c).	354
a) Introductory remarks. The further articulation of the Synthesis. Determination of the "pre-positiveness." The <i>synthesis</i> within beings as the foundation for dialectic. Disposition of the fundamental possibilities of <i>synthesis</i> .	354
b) The carrying-out of the discussion.	355
α) First thesis: the exclusion of every <i>synthesis</i> whatsoever. The untenability of this position. The self-revelation of the <i>Anti-thesis</i> .	356
β) Second thesis: unrestricted <i>synthesis</i> in its universality. <i>Κληρονομία</i> and <i>ἐπιπέδη ἀποκλειστικῶν</i> .	356
γ) Third thesis: conditioned <i>synthesis</i> . Its recognition as the only tenable thesis. The preservation of knowledge.	357
§ 75. Further clarification of the conditioned <i>synthesis</i> of beings (253a–253b).	358
a) Illustration of the conditioned <i>synthesis</i> by means of letters. The special position of the vowels as an illustration of the special position of the fundamental determinations of beings: <i>δέσμις</i> , <i>δύο</i> <i>ἀόριστος</i> .	358
b) Epitaphic further clarification of the universal presence of the fundamental determinations of beings. The analogy of the dove-cote in Plato's <i>Theaetetus</i> .	359
c) The movement of letters and sounds as "object" of a <i>ψῆφος</i> . Reference to a corresponding <i>ἀψῆφος</i> regarding the conditioned <i>synthesis</i> of beings.	360
§ 76. The idea of dialectic (253b–254b).	361
a) First characterization of dialectic. Dialectic as <i>ἐπινοητικὴ</i> <i>ἐπι τοῦ λόγου</i> . <i>ἵεσις</i> and <i>ἕξις</i> . The disclosure of the history of the pre-existence of "conscious" beings as the task of dialectic. The five principal moments of dialectic: <i>ἐπινοητικὴ</i> and <i>ἕξις</i> . Dialectic as uniquely true science, i.e., as philosophy.	361
b) The second and third characterizations of dialectic. "Empire and <i>ἡσυχία</i> as guiding concepts of dialectic. The obscurity of the third characterization.	365
c) λόγος as mode of access to beings. Distinctions in the meaning of "λόγος." Conclusion of the third characterization of dialectic.	366

d) Dialectic as a name for the philosopher. The dwelling place of the philosopher and that of the sophist: the clarity of being and the obscurity of non-being. The procedure accorded the dialectic clarification of the sophist.	367
e) The result of the previous characterization of dialectic. The essential moments and basic presupposition of dialectic.	368
§ 77. The fundamental considerations of Dialectic (254b–257a). The dialectic of the <i>algebra pter</i> .	369
a) Introductory remarks. The ground, theme, and intention of the ensuing dialectical analysis.	369
b) The five <i>algebra pter</i> : <i>stereia</i> — <i>eratai</i> — <i>de</i> — <i>toitai</i> — <i>epoi</i> . Exposition of their <i>axiomatics</i> .	371
α) The progression of <i>stereia</i> — <i>eratai</i> — <i>de</i> . Their relationship.	371
β) <i>Toitai</i> and <i>epoi</i> as themes of the further investigation. Determination of the task and anticipation of the result.	372
γ) The <i>axiomatics</i> of <i>toitai</i> and <i>epoi</i> over and against <i>stereia</i> and <i>eratai</i> .	375
δ) The <i>axiomatics</i> of <i>toitai</i> and <i>epoi</i> over and against <i>de</i> , <i>Toitai</i> and <i>de</i> , <i>epoi</i> and <i>de</i> . The disparity between <i>de</i> and <i>epoi</i> . The <i>epoi</i> as focusing character of the <i>epoi</i> . Results and further task.	378
c) The <i>Stereia</i> <i>axiomatics</i> of the <i>epoi</i> .	379
α) The pervasive presence of the <i>epoi</i> in the realm of the five <i>algebra pter</i> , exemplified in <i>stereia</i> .	379
αα) Point of departure: <i>stereia</i> up again: the relation between <i>stereia</i> — <i>eratai</i> — <i>de</i> — <i>toitai</i> .	380
αβ) First stage: <i>stereia</i> and <i>toitai</i> .	380
αγ) Second stage: <i>stereia</i> and <i>eratai</i> .	381
αδ) Third stage: <i>stereia</i> and <i>epoi</i> .	383
αε) Fourth stage: <i>stereia</i> and <i>de</i> . The being-other of <i>stereia</i> as non-being.	385
β) The universal presence of the <i>epoi</i> in all <i>de</i> in general. The universal presence of non-being.	388
§ 78. The conceptual elucidation of the structure of the <i>epoi</i> . The determination of the concept of <i>an de</i> (257b–259d).	388
a) The <i>epoi</i> <i>ti</i> as the fundamental structure of the <i>epoi</i> . The character of the “not” as disclosing the matters themselves.	388
α) The distinction between two modes of “not”: <i>kenetoi</i> and <i>epoi</i> (empty “opposite” and substantive <i>other</i>).	388
β) The “not” in <i>lógos</i> . Negation as letting be seen. The positive understanding of negation in phenomenology.	387
b) More precise grasp of the structure of the <i>epoi</i> : the clarification of the <i>epoi</i> as <i>de</i> (<i>étera</i>). <i>Mi de an-étera</i> .	389
α) The construction of the idea of the <i>epoi</i> as <i>epoi</i> <i>ti</i> . Counter-part (<i>alétoi</i>) and opposite (<i>en-étera</i>).	389

b) The structure of $\mu\lambda\ \delta\epsilon$ as $\delta\epsilon\ \tau\theta\eta\iota\kappa\alpha$. The substantive content of $\mu\lambda\ \delta\epsilon$, its full dignity of Being ($\mu\lambda\ \delta\epsilon$ as autonomous $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\varsigma$ within the five $\mu\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha\ \gamma\epsilon\tau\eta$)	391
γ) Plato's substantive advance over Parmenides' doctrine of $\mu\lambda\ \delta\epsilon$, $\Lambda\upsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ and $\kappa\epsilon\tau\epsilon\tau\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$	393
δ) $\Lambda\upsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ as ground of the possibility of dialectic. Formal characterization of dialectic	395
ε) Examine the "theory" of the "not" in Plato and Aristotle. The "not" in Parmenides, Antisthenes, and Plato (<i>Republic</i> , <i>Symposium</i> , <i>Sophist</i>). The reworking of Antisthenes' ontological logic. Dialectical logic. Aristotle's theory of opposition. Toward the further articulation of the <i>Sophist</i> .	394
§ 79. Transition from the fundamental dialectical consideration to the analysis of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ (296–301c). The question of the meaning of the fundamental dialectical consideration	347
a) Justification of the necessity of the analysis of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$. The problematic character of the dependence of $\delta\epsilon$ and $\mu\lambda\ \delta\epsilon$ with respect to $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$	397
b) $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ (or $\mu\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$) and $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha\ \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ as central themes of the fundamental dialectical consideration. The structure of the <i>ESB</i> as condition of the possibility of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ in general. $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$ and $\alpha\tau\tau\eta\mu\alpha$ as basic phenomena in the cognition of being. The relationship between $\alpha\tau\tau\eta\mu\alpha$ and $\epsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ as predetermination of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha\ \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$. The Being of $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ as ontological foundation of the phenomena of falsity	398
§ 80. The analysis of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ (301c–303d)	402
a) Exposition of the problem. Articulation of the analysis of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ into three stages	402
b) First stage: the exhibition of the ontomathematic and dialectic basic structure of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$	404
a) The point of departure: $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ as the most immediate mode of encountering $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$. The phenomenal content of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ in Plato: $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ – $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ – $\epsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ – $\epsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ as $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$. The connection between $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ and $\epsilon\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$ by means of $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$. The recourse to Being-in-the-world as the task of a "phenomenological" interpretation of Plato's analysis of $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$	404
c) The structure of the triad in $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$	407
aa) $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ as criterion of the structure of $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ in $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$. Rejection of the interpretation of $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ as sign. The essence of $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ in the general sense as $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$	407
bb) The basic distinction within $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ in general between $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ in the strict sense and $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$. The $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ as the point of departure for the explication of this distinction. Thesis – $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ in the strict sense – $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ of $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$. Plato's determination of $\delta\epsilon\ \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ and $\lambda\theta\eta\mu\alpha$ as preparation for Aristotle's determination of them: "Noun," "Verb."	409

77) The <i>manirachē</i> of <i>logos</i> and <i>phōnē</i> as an essential condition of the <i>nomos</i> of <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>φωνή</i> as the primary phenomenon within the structure of language and as the constitutive determination of Dasein: Being-in- <i>Logos</i> καὶ <i>φωνή</i> (the "proposition"), Naming and saying: Summary of the first stage.	410
c) Second stage: the elaboration of the structure of the <i>ἀρχαῖος</i> καὶ <i>ἀρχαῖος</i> (= <i>ἀρχὴ ἀρχαῖος</i>)	413
α) The basic determination of <i>λόγος</i> , <i>λόγος</i> = <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>φῶν</i> , its self-creativity as <i>ἄνοσος</i> : "intentionality"	413
β) The necessity of the articulation of the <i>π</i> as the <i>π</i> of <i>λόγος</i> : (1) "from which?" (<i>ἐκ</i>), (2) "to which?" (<i>πρὸς</i>), (3) "of which?" The structure of the <i>π</i> as <i>ἀρχαῖος</i> : something as something. Distinction between three modes of <i>νομή</i> in <i>λόγος</i> .	414
γ) Third stage: the analysis of <i>λόγος</i> , with respect to <i>ἐπίστασις</i> .	416
α) The basic determination of <i>λόγος</i> , καὶ <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>φῶν</i> as the fundamental condition of descriptive <i>λόγος</i> . The <i>μάθη</i> (<i>μάθη</i> or <i>μάθη</i>) as a necessary character of <i>λόγος</i> .	416
β) Plato's dialectical interpretation of <i>νόμος</i> and <i>νόμος</i> . The <i>νόμος</i> of <i>λόγος</i> (<i>νόμος ἀρχαῖος</i>) with <i>νόμος</i> and <i>νόμος</i> as ground of the possibility of <i>λόγος ἀρχαῖος</i> or <i>λόγος ἀρχαῖος</i> . The fourth <i>νομή</i> in <i>λόγος</i> .	417
γ) Summary of the result of the analysis of <i>λόγος</i> , <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>φῶν</i> . The fourth <i>νομή</i> in <i>λόγος</i> .	419
§ 81. The analysis of <i>λόγος</i> and <i>νόμος</i> (2615-2646). The clarification of the <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>νόμος</i> as <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>νόμος</i> and <i>λόγος</i> καὶ <i>νόμος</i> , of through the proof of the possible connection of <i>λόγος</i> and <i>νόμος</i> with <i>νόμος</i> , <i>νόμος</i> , <i>λόγος</i> , and <i>νόμος</i> as modes of <i>λόγος</i> ; their possible comparison with the <i>νόμος</i> (i.e., <i>πῶς</i> or <i>νόμος</i>).	420

APPENDIX

Supplements

From Heidegger's Manuscript

(Remarks, Additions, Alterations to his Lectures)

I. Supplements to the Introductory Part	423
II. Supplements to the Transition	433
III. Supplements to the Main Part	441
From the Notes of Simon Moser	455
Transition to the Class of the 26th Session (February 10, 1925)	455
Editor's Epilogue	457
Glossary of Greek Terms	467

- [read online Carnival pdf](#)
- [download In Praise of Doubt: How to Have Convictions Without Becoming a Fanatic](#)
- [Evolution and Conversion: Dialogues on the Origins of Culture online](#)
- [download online God's Secret Agents: Queen Elizabeth's Forbidden Priests and the Hatching of the Gunpowder Plot online](#)
- [read online Tragedy: A Very Short Introduction \(Very Short Introductions\)](#)
- [The Complete Idiot's Guide to Investing \(4th Edition\) pdf, azw \(kindle\), epub](#)

- <http://yachtwebsitedemo.com/books/The-Big-Shift--The-Seismic-Change-in-Canadian-Politics--Business--and-Culture-and-What-It-Means-for-Our-Future.pdf>
- <http://toko-gumilar.com/books/Necklace-and-Calabash--Judge-Dee-Mysteries--Book-16-.pdf>
- <http://www.freightunlocked.co.uk/lib/Evolution-and-Conversion--Dialogues-on-the-Origins-of-Culture.pdf>
- <http://yachtwebsitedemo.com/books/God-s-Secret-Agents--Queen-Elizabeth-s-Forbidden-Priests-and-the-Hatching-of-the-Gunpowder-Plot.pdf>
- <http://www.khoi.dk/?books/Tragedy--A-Very-Short-Introduction--Very-Short-Introductions-.pdf>
- <http://www.uverp.it/library/The-Horse-Who-Drank-the-Sky--Film-Experience-Beyond-Narrative-and-Theory.pdf>