

Praise for *Dual Momentum Investing*

This is an excellent book on the various forms of price momentum: why they work, including a very clever way to use them. I highly recommend investors read this book.

—James P. O’Shaughnessy, author, *What Works on Wall Street*
Chairman and CEO, O’Shaughnessy Asset Management

Gary Antonacci takes us on a comprehensive tour of investment methods, exploring their strengths and weaknesses, and lays out a strong case for combining absolute and relative momentum. I consider *Dual Momentum Investing* an essential reference for system designers, money managers, and investors.

—Ed Seykota

I was familiar with Antonacci’s writing talent when he won first place in the 2012 NAAIM Wagner Paper contest, which I chair. *Dual Momentum Investing* is a treasure of well-researched momentum-driven investing processes. After a thorough and enlightening review of historical momentum writing and a brief, critical review of modern portfolio theory, he clearly shows a number of different methods that anyone who is serious about a long-term strategy will find easy to implement. This is one of the five-star books; it is logical and easy to grasp.

—Gregory L. Morris, Chief Technical Analyst
and Investment Committee Chairman
Stadion Money Management, LLC; author, *Investing with the Trend*

In *Dual Momentum Investing*, Gary Antonacci presents a clear and scholarly sound case for the success of a simple momentum-based strategy. It is easy to implement, yet its quantitative nature helps you avoid your own behavioral biases. Give it a try; you’ll be hooked!

—John Nofsinger, PhD, Seward Chair of Finance
University of Alaska Anchorage; author, *The Psychology of Investing*

Gary Antonacci’s *Dual Momentum Investing* is what happens when “Ed Thorpe’s *Beat the Deal* meets Seth Klarman’s *Margin of Safety*.” *Dual Momentum* presents a thoughtful and tantalizing “do what you know and know what you’re doing” investment process. This is an ambitious and must-have book.

—Claude Erb, retired Managing Director, TCW Group, Inc.

This is a must-read for both individual investors as well as financial advisors. It will forever change the way you think about developing investment and asset allocation strategies.

—Dr. Bob Froehlich, retired Vice Chairman, Deutsche Asset Management

Gary Antonacci provides a fantastic and valuable viewpoint of dual momentum investing. This book is highly informative, substantive, and readable for the sophisticated investor. Gary presents a well-crafted balance between academic findings and application of this emerging topic.

—Victor Ricciardi, Coeditor, *Investor Behavior*
The Psychology of Financial Planning and Investing

Few authors can review the breadth of competing investment theories and practices in such an accessible manner. Even fewer can make their own contributions. Gary Antonacci's *Dual Momentum Investing* achieves both.

—Jerry Waldron, PhD, former Assistant Professor
of Finance, University of Memphis
and Assistant Professor of Management, New York University
Stern School of Business

Gary Antonacci's book *Dual Momentum Investing* opens up a secret world—the power of momentum investing—that has been hidden in plain sight for decades.

—Kurt Brouwer, Chairman, Brouwer & Janachowski, LL

DUAL MOMENTUM INVESTING

AN INNOVATIVE STRATEGY
FOR HIGHER RETURNS
WITH LOWER RISK

GARY ANTONACCI



New York Chicago San Francisco Athens London
Madrid Mexico City Milan New Delhi
Singapore Sydney Toronto

Copyright © 2015 by Gary Antonacci. All rights reserved. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ISBN: 978-0-07-184945-6

MHID: 0-07-184945-9

The material in this eBook also appears in the print version of this title: ISBN: 978-0-07-184944-9, MHID: 0-07-184944-0.

eBook conversion by codeMantra

Version 1.0

All trademarks are trademarks of their respective owners. Rather than put a trademark symbol after every occurrence of a trademarked name, we use names in an editorial fashion only, and to the benefit of the trademark owner, with no intention of infringement of the trademark. Where such designations appear in this book, they have been printed with initial caps.

McGraw-Hill Education eBooks are available at special quantity discounts to use as premiums and sales promotions, or for use in corporate training programs. To contact a representative please visit the Contact Us page at www.mhprofessional.com.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that neither the author nor the publisher is engaged in rendering legal, accounting, securities trading, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

—From a Declaration of Principles Jointly Adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers and Associations

TERMS OF USE

This is a copyrighted work and McGraw-Hill Education and its licensors reserve all rights in and to the work. Use of this work is subject to these terms. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act of 1976 and the right to store and retrieve one copy of the work, you may not decompile, disassemble, reverse engineer, reproduce, modify, create derivative works based upon, transmit, distribute, disseminate, sell, publish or sublicense the work or any part of it without McGraw-Hill Education's prior consent. You may use the work for your own noncommercial and personal use; any other use of the work is strictly prohibited. Your right to use the work may be terminated if you fail to comply with these terms.

THE WORK IS PROVIDED "AS IS." MCGRAW-HILL EDUCATION AND ITS LICENSORS MAKE NO GUARANTEES OR WARRANTIES AS TO THE ACCURACY, ADEQUACY OR COMPLETENESS OF OR RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED FROM USING THE WORK, INCLUDING ANY INFORMATION THAT CAN BE ACCESSED THROUGH THE WORK VIA HYPERLINK OR OTHERWISE, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIM ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR

FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. McGraw-Hill Education and its licensors do not warrant or guarantee that the functions contained in the work will meet your requirements or that its operation will be uninterrupted or error free. Neither McGraw-Hill Education nor its licensors shall be liable to you or anyone else for any inaccuracy, error or omission, regardless of cause, in the work or for any damages resulting therefrom. McGraw-Hill Education has no responsibility for the content of any information accessed through the work. Under no circumstances shall McGraw-Hill Education and/or its licensors be liable for any indirect, incidental, special, punitive, consequential or similar damages that result from the use of or inability to use the work, even if any of them has been advised of the possibility of such damages. This limitation of liability shall apply to any claim or cause whatsoever whether such claim or cause arises in contract, tort or otherwise.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD by Wesley R. Gray, PhD

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PREFACE

CHAPTER 1 WORLD'S FIRST INDEX FUND

CHAPTER 2 WHAT GOES UP ... STAYS UP

CHAPTER 3 MODERN PORTFOLIO THEORY PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES

CHAPTER 4 RATIONAL AND NOT-SO-RATIONAL EXPLANATIONS OF MOMENTUM

CHAPTER 5 ASSET SELECTION: THE GOOD, THE BAD, AND THE UGLY

CHAPTER 6 SMART BETA AND OTHER URBAN LEGENDS

CHAPTER 7 MEASURING AND MANAGING RISK

CHAPTER 8 GLOBAL EQUITIES MOMENTUM

CHAPTER 9 MO' BETTER MOMENTUM

CHAPTER 10 FINAL THOUGHTS

APPENDIX A GLOBAL EQUITY MOMENTUM MONTHLY RESULTS

APPENDIX B ABSOLUTE MOMENTUM: A SIMPLE RULE-BASED STRATEGY AND UNIVERSAL TREND-FOLLOWING OVERLAY

NOTES

GLOSSARY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

RECOMMENDED READING

INDEX

FOREWORD

EUGENE FAMA, THE 2014 CORECIPIENT of the Nobel Prize in Economics and father of the efficient market hypothesis, has three words to describe momentum: “momentum is pervasive.” This is not a small admission from Dr. Fama. Yet, despite momentum being pervasive, it remains largely, and perhaps curiously, misunderstood by investors. Thankfully, we have Gary Antonacci to fill this void. Gary’s *Dual Momentum Investing* is a true “pracademic” masterpiece, bridging the gap between academics, who have explored the nuanced theoretical mechanics of the momentum anomaly in dense academic journals, and practitioners who have used their vague knowledge of momentum in an ad-hoc way to generate excess returns. Gary brings to bear his expertise in both spheres, creating a momentum-based asset allocation strategy that is robust, simple, implementable, and has historically earned an outsized risk-adjusted return.

You could be forgiven for being skeptical, as I once was, about the merits of Gary’s Dual Momentum Investment philosophy. After all, there are many second-rate or even wholly ineffectual efforts to capture momentum. And as any empirical researcher can tell you, “trust, but verify.” Notwithstanding our extensive and rigorous examination, the evidence clearly suggests that Gary’s simple, intuitive, and comprehensive model is worth the effort it takes to understand it.

One of my best friends, who happens to be a former market maker for the largest emerging debt players in the world and who, not coincidentally, has already retired to Miami at the ripe old age of 40, often tells me, “Rising prices attract buyers; falling prices attract sellers.” In as many words, my friend is describing the momentum effect. Gary takes the momentum phenomenon—that every trader intuitively understands and uses—to a higher level, and one that is accessible to investors of all stripes.

Why did it take so long for a book like *Dual Momentum Investing* to hit the market? The answer is straightforward: it took a unique author, and there is only one Gary. He is a singular figure in the world of momentum. My relationship with Gary was born via the same mechanism through which I meet many fascinating folks: a blog romance. I was thinking about a momentum-related content piece for TurnkeyAnalyst.com, a blog dedicated to democratizing quantitative investing, and Gary’s paper “Absolute Momentum: A Simple Rule-Based Strategy and Universal Trend-Following Overlay” came across my desk. I immediately thought, “This won’t do. Here we go again—another practitioner posing as a serious academic researcher.” But then I read Gary’s paper. The further I read, the more impressed I became. The paper was well written, clear, scientific in its construction, and read like a top-tier academic journal article. I couldn’t understand why the author wasn’t employed at a university. I had to learn more.

After multiple conversations via e-mail and phone, I decided I had to meet Gary in person. Consistent with how these blog romances evolve, we scheduled a “geek date” at the 2013 Western Finance Association Annual Meeting in Lake Tahoe. As I waited in the lobby of the Hyatt Regency, watching herds of famous (and infamous) academics scurry along to the various sessions, a curly-haired, low-profile man confidently strolled through the fancy double doors in a pair of jeans and

short-sleeved collared shirt. This was no tweed-clad academic with Coke bottle glasses. I pointed his direction and asked, “Gary, is that you?” Gary responded with a wide smile, “Wes? Hey man, let’s hurry up and catch the session on inferring arbitrage capital from return correlations!” And so it was that our curious blog relationship began to blossom into a full romance.

We were running late, so we ran out the door toward the lake where the finance sessions were under way. We arrived, sweaty and winded—probably not in a condition to open the door on an ongoing paper presentation and get the death stare from 50+ finance professors. I suggested, “Gary let’s just chill out, grab some coffee, and we can hit the next paper presentations.” Little did I know, Gary was about to get a presentation far superior to anything that was going on behind the many closed doors.

Gary and I strolled outside with our coffee, and he started shedding some light on his background. “So there I was, in the Army and on my way to combat as a medic ...” I interrupted, “Wait, you are a Vietnam vet? I was a captain in the United States Marine Corps and an Iraq vet!” We both looked at each other, amused at this unanticipated congruity. I knew that a military background often drives certain character traits that are useful in the investing world. Next, Gary continued describing his unique background, “Yeah, I’ve done some cool things. I lived in India for a few years, went on tour as a comedy magician for a while, was an award-winning artist, and I have an MBA from the Harvard Business School.” Perplexed, I had to stop him, “Say again?”

After about an hour went by and my head stopped spinning from listening to the various exploits Gary had engaged in over the years, I had to ask him the question: “Gary, sounds like you’re a guy that never wanted to get a real job—why didn’t you become an academic? You’d be perfect!” And of course, as I should have anticipated, he replied, “Wes, funny you ask. I almost followed your same path when I was your age. I applied to the Chicago Finance PhD program and was accepted. I really wanted to be an academic researcher.” It all began to make sense. I questioned, “Well, what happened?” Gary, always ready with the right answer, replied, “Well, I almost pursued that opportunity, but I was making a ton of money trading options. Plus, I didn’t believe in the efficient market hypothesis, and I worried that if I entered the program I would have to give up making money because they were saying the markets couldn’t be beat!” I pondered Gary’s response and thought that had I been faced with the same opportunity, I would probably have done the same thing.

So what is the moral of the story here, and why have I spent so much time describing my relationship and experience with Gary? My hope is that you can identify, as I did, that Gary is a unique person with unique talents. Gary has a way of compiling massive amounts of research from diverse areas and synthesizing it in such a way that even a struggling momentum half-wit like me can actually comprehend what is going on. And make no mistake. What Gary has done is extremely challenging. It requires broad-ranging knowledge and an ability to connect the dots across many domains. I know, because I have tried. My own research on value investing and behavioral finance led to my coauthored book on value investing, *Quantitative Value*. My takeaways were similar to Gary’s. My book serves as a reminder that (1) I will never be Buffett, and (2) combining a systematic decision process with a sound investment philosophy has historically been a successful way to compound wealth over time. Gary’s book also provided some reminders: (1) I will never be able to write as clearly as Gary, and (2) momentum investing is a top-shelf anomaly, similar to, if not better than, the value anomaly. I was feeling a bit jealous.

I’m excited to find out what people think of Gary’s great book about momentum investing. Unlike the value-investing space, where investment offices are plastered with “classics,” there really isn’t a classic text on momentum investing. In Gary’s work, we may have an instant classic. I think Gary’s *Dual Momentum Investing* should be the first book on everyone’s momentum shelf. I hope everyone

enjoys the read as much as I did, and most importantly, I hope you learn something that makes you a better investor in the future.

Wesley R. Gray, Ph.D.
Executive Managing Member, Empiritrag
Coauthor of *Quantitative Value*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

If I have seen further, it is by standing on the shoulders of giants.

—*Isaac Newton*

I NEVER COULD HAVE WRITTEN THIS book had it not been for the substantial body of work by so many dedicated momentum researchers over the past 80 years. I am particularly indebted to Alfred Cowles III and Herbert E. Jones, who painstakingly hand-calculated and published the very first quantitative study of momentum in 1937. Practitioners today, me included, still incorporate momentum in much the same way that Cowles and Jones presented it.

I wish to thank Wes Gray for his encouragement in getting me to put words to paper. Wes and his associate, David Foulke, also gave me valuable feedback on this book's content.

I am indebted to Tony Cooper for his insightful comments and worthwhile contributions to this book. I also appreciate the useful suggestions that Cheryl Becwar, Riccardo Ronco, Charles V. ("Bill") White, and John Hardin gave me. Finally, I am grateful to my excellent editorial team consisting of Jonathan Lobatto, Dr. Stephen Miller, Larry Pell, and Kyra Kitts for their kind and helpful assistance.

PREFACE

Profit in the share market is goblin treasure; at one moment, it is carbuncles, the next it is coal; one moment diamonds, and the next pebbles. Sometimes they are the tears that Aurora leaves on the sweet morning's grass; at other times, they are just tears.

—*José de la Vega, Confusion of Confusions, 1608*

ACCORDING TO THE RESPECTED MIT financial economist Andrew Lo (2012), “Buy and hold doesn't work anymore. The volatility is too significant. Almost any asset can suddenly become much more risky.”¹ Even Warren Buffett's Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. lost nearly 50% of its market value on two separate occasions since 1998.

Mohamed El-Erian, former head of PIMCO, said, “Diversification alone is no longer sufficient to temper risk. You need something more to manage risk well.” Diversification has long been called the only free lunch in investing. Now somebody needs to pay for that lunch. Because financial markets have become progressively more integrated and correlated, multiasset diversification can no longer protect investors from severe market losses. Such losses can cause investors to overreact and convert temporary setbacks into permanent ones by closing out their investments prematurely.

What we need now is a new paradigm that dynamically adjusts to market risk and keeps us safe from the vagaries of today's highly volatile markets. We need a way to earn long-term above-market returns while limiting our downside exposure. This book shows how momentum investing can make that desirable outcome a reality.

Momentum, or persistence in performance, has been one of the most heavily researched financial topics over the past 20 years. Academic research has shown momentum to be a valid strategy from the early 1800s up to the present, and across nearly all asset classes. After many years of such intense scrutiny, the academic community now accepts momentum as the “premier anomaly” for achieving consistently high risk-adjusted returns.²

Yet momentum is still largely undiscovered by most mainstream investors. I wrote this book to help bridge the gap between the academic research on momentum, which is extensive, and its real-world application, which is still minimal.

The first goal of this book is to explain momentum principles so readers can easily understand and readily appreciate them. I present the history of momentum investing and bring readers up to speed on modern financial theory and the possible reasons why momentum works. I then look at a wide range of asset choices and alternative investment approaches. I finally show how dual momentum—a combination of relative strength and trend-following methods that I introduced in two award-winning research papers—is the ideal way to invest.

I develop and present an easy-to-understand, straightforward application of dual momentum that I call Global Equities Momentum (GEM). Using only a U.S. stock market index, an all world non-U.S. stock market index, and an aggregate bond index, I show how investors using GEM could have

achieved long-run returns nearly twice as high as the world stock market over the past 40 years while avoiding severe bear market losses.

I am always amazed when I think of how much time and effort most people put into accumulating wealth and how little study and effort they put into finding the best ways of preserving and growing that wealth. Warren Buffett says that risk comes from not knowing what you are doing. This book should help remedy that situation and steer you in the right direction.

Dual Momentum Investing is more than just an introduction to momentum investing ideas. It is also a practical guide to help investors and investment professionals tune in with market forces and profit from this newfound knowledge.

I have tried to make the book interesting and useful to as many readers as possible. I include some advanced material for those interested in an in-depth treatment of the subject, while also keeping the book understandable to more casual readers. I provide a glossary for those who may need help with the vocabulary of modern finance. So, let us get started.

WORLD'S FIRST INDEX FUND

Never trust the experts.

—John F. Kennedy

INDEX FUNDS ARE WELL KNOWN today. Many think John McQuown and Bill Fouse at Wells Fargo (which became Barclays Global Investors) started the first index fund in 1971 when they invested every New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) stock for the Samsonite pension fund. However, that is not correct. Let me tell you how it really happened.¹

I discovered the real first index fund during a chance meeting I had while working at Smith Barney & Co. in 1976. At that time Smith Barney was a prestigious investment banking and institutional brokerage firm similar to Goldman Sachs, Salomon Brothers, and First Boston. Along with the rest of the Street at that time, Smith Barney wanted more of a retail distribution network, so they had recently acquired Harris Upham, a retail-oriented wirehouse. As is usual after these kinds of acquisitions, Smith Barney let go the redundant operations of Harris Upham. However, Harris Upham had one of the best over-the-counter (OTC) departments in the business, headed up by Bob Topol, who was in charge of all their OTC activity.

In those days, there was no electronic marketplace. In order to buy or sell OTC securities, one had to phone around to different brokerage firms and check the bid/offer spreads maintained by each of their market makers. A top-notch OTC market maker could become a terrific profit center for a firm. This was not only because the bid/offer spreads of OTC securities were sometimes large enough to drive a small vehicle through them. The best OTC market makers also profited from their acumen in maintaining large inventories of OTC stocks. They could slant their bids and offers to end up with larger positions in stocks they really liked, and smaller or short positions in those they disliked. Bob was one of the very best stock pickers in the business. Top institutional investors would deal with him in order to find out his view of the stocks they were interested in, as well as to be able to execute the trades in the large, liquid inventories that Bob routinely maintained.

Smith Barney was proud to have Bob onboard. They sent him around to all their offices so their representatives could learn more about Bob and feel comfortable directing business his way. So, after the merger, Bob came around to our office to introduce himself and explain what he could do for us. It was not Bob and what Bob did that opened my eyes, but rather it was the story that he told us.

Bob arrived about an hour before lunch and gave an impressive presentation explaining some of the finer points of OTC market making. It was obvious to everyone there why Bob was so admired and respected. One of my colleagues complimented Bob on his superior trading ability and his profit-generating capability. Bob thanked him, sat back in his chair, paused a moment, then casually

remarked, “Yes, I’ve done well, but would you like to hear about someone who has done better than me? In fact, this person has done better in the market than anyone else I know.”

We all quickly sat back down. Bob had our complete attention. As we stared at him inquiringly, Bob continued, “The best investor I know—one who has outperformed all the professional money managers I’m familiar with—is my wife, Dee. Would you like to hear how she does it?”

Sasquatch could have walked into the room, and no one would have noticed. Here was Bob, one of the industry’s top traders and market makers, who did business with some of the world’s best money managers, telling us that his wife did better at investing than all of them! Now he was about to tell us how she did it. As they say, you could have heard a pin drop.

Ignoring our stunned silence, Bob continued, “Dee has always been very patriotic. So years ago she decided to buy all the stocks with ‘U.S.’ or ‘American’ in their names. She bought U.S. Steel, U.S. Shoe, U.S. Gypsum, U.S. Silica, American Airlines, American Brands, American Can, American Cyanamid, American Electric Power, American Express, American Greetings, American Home Products, American Hospital Supply, American International Group, American Locomotive (this was a while ago), American Motors, American South African, American Telephone & Telegraph, British American Tobacco, North American Aviation, Pan American Airlines ... and many smaller companies.”

Some of us started smiling. We did not know if Bob was serious or if he was putting us on. However, Bob seemed sincere and continued, “Dee did very well this way. After a number of years she wanted to buy some additional stocks. Since she admired General Eisenhower and General MacArthur, she decided to buy all the Generals: General Dynamics, General Electric, General Mills, General Motors, General Maritime, General Steel, General Telephone, Dollar General, Mercury General, Media General, Portland General Electric Since then, Dee has continued to do better with her portfolio than anyone I know, and that’s the God’s honest truth.”

Everyone smiled at Bob and seemed amused as we adjourned for lunch. However, for days, and then weeks, I could not stop thinking about Dee. Dee had access to some astute investment information herself. Not only had she then been married to Bob for almost 30 years then, but Dee’s father had owned an OTC market-making firm. I kept asking myself how Dee could have outperformed the world’s best money managers for so long with such a naïve strategy. Had she just been incredibly lucky? After a few weeks, the answers came to me.

WHY IT WORKED

First, Dee’s portfolio had relatively little in the way of transaction costs. She bought stocks only once and held onto them forever. Commissions were a lot higher back then, and this was a significant cost saving. In addition, Dee’s permanent portfolio was not subject to ill-timed buy and sell decisions based on emotional responses to market performance. We will see later that this is often a significant drag on investor returns.

Lack of portfolio turnover and emotionally based decision making were not the whole story, however. Dee also did not have to pay management fees to anyone. That saved her at least 1% per year, compared to what investors paid who were in mutual funds or other managed investment programs.

Finally, Dee’s portfolio was well diversified. This was not true of most investor portfolios at the time. They usually had a bias toward a particular investment style, such as defensive, growth, large

cap, and so on. Back then, large-cap “glamour” stocks, such as Avon, Coke, Disney, IBM, Kodak, McDonald’s, Merck, Polaroid, and Xerox, were very popular. These “Nifty-Fifty” sometimes sold enormous price/earnings (P/E) ratios. For example, in the 1970s, McDonalds’ P/E was 68, Johnson Johnson’s was 62, and Coca-Cola’s was 48. Extreme P/E ratios like these could be justified only if those companies had growth rates such that the value of, say, Avon stock would now exceed the gross domestic product (GDP) of some countries. The noted economist Kenneth Boulding once said, “Anyone who thinks steady growth can continue indefinitely is either a madman or an economist.”²

Dee’s randomly constructed portfolio did not have a particular bias or investment style. It was like the market itself—equally balanced among small cap, large cap, value, growth, and just about every other factor. In fact, Dee’s portfolio was a more balanced portfolio than the Standard & Poor’s 500 Index, with its large cap and growth stock tilt. Dee had unwittingly created the world’s first index fund, and a good one at that. She did so without the need for brokers, money managers, or anything else, except a dictionary.

LESSONS LEARNED

The reasons for Dee’s success were a life-changing revelation for me. Here are the lessons I took away from my understanding of why Dee was successful:

- It is important to keep costs as low as possible. This is the easiest way to earn risk-adjusted excess return (alpha).
- One should diversify broadly, and not just by picking stocks with different names having similar characteristics. One should diversify with respect to company size, investment style, industry concentration, and other biases.
- It is not easy to beat the market. Very few investors do. Replicating the market portfolio may be a good thing.

Based on these realizations, I decided to quit the brokerage business. It no longer made sense for me to be a stock jockey saddling investors with high costs and overconcentrated portfolios trying to beat similar accounts handled by other stock jockeys to an ever-elusive finish line.

I saw there were now two options left for me with respect to professional investment management. The first was to become an efficient marketeer, which sounded similar to being a Mickey Mouseketeer and, to me, was no less silly. I viewed efficient markets like I viewed Ptolemaic astronomy, with both based largely on a priori assumptions. My second option, according to those in academic finance at that time, was to become like Don Quixote, tilting at the windmills of efficient market theory.

EFFICIENT MARKETS

By the mid-1970s, the efficient market hypothesis (EMH) had made a strong impression on the minds of otherwise sensible people. EMH is the belief that prices of stocks fully reflect all publicly available information about them. This means that no one can expect to beat the market consistently.

I had toyed briefly with the EMH idea. I applied and was accepted into the finance PhD program at the University of Chicago, which was the bastion of EMH. However, I never attended due to the frightening thoughts I had of being tarred and feathered as a heretic on the University of Chicago quadrangle.

The idea behind efficient markets actually got its start in the 1800s when Charles Dow (founder of Dow Jones & Co. and the *Wall Street Journal*) commented on the market as an efficient processor of information: “In fact, the market reduces to a bloodless verdict all knowledge bearing on finance, both domestic and foreign. The price movements, therefore, represent everything everybody knows, hopes, believes, and anticipates.” In his 1889 book called *The Stock Markets of London, Paris, and New York*, George Gibson wrote, “Shares become publicly known in an open market. The value which they acquire may be regarded as the judgment of the best intelligence concerning them.” Followers of EMH later claimed as their own the concept that prices reflect all available public information.

A more substantive rationale for EMH appeared in the PhD thesis of Louis Bachelier in 1900. Bachelier compared the behavior of stock market buyers and sellers to the random movement of particles suspended in fluid. Bachelier concluded that stock price movements are random, and it is impossible to make predictions about them. Prior to this, in 1863, Jules Regnault used a random model to say that the deviation of stock prices is directly proportional to the square root of time. Bachelier, however, was the first to accurately model stochastic processes. These were called Brownian motion, after the Scottish botanist Robert Brown, who in 1826 first noted the random movements of pollen grains suspended in water. Einstein got the credit for explaining Brownian motion mathematically in 1905, but Bachelier had already done so in his PhD dissertation written five years earlier. Bachelier was also way ahead of his time with respect to his pioneering work on probability theory.³

Bachelier turned his PhD thesis into a book published in 1900 called *The Theory of Speculation*. It did not attract much attention until the statistician Leonard “Jimmy” Savage rediscovered it while doing research on the history of probability. Savage was so impressed with Bachelier’s pioneering work with respect to speculative markets that he sent postcards about it to a dozen or so economists he knew in the mid-1950s.

Paul Samuelson had been working on similar ideas himself. He was delighted to hear from Savage and find out about Bachelier. It allowed Samuelson to put all the pieces together into a unified equilibrium framework based on Bachelier’s work. In 1965, Samuelson published a seminal paper using Bachelier’s ideas about efficient markets and Samuelson’s own proof to support it.

Samuelson went on to write the bestselling economics textbook of all time in which he strongly endorsed EMH. Samuelson was the first American to receive the Nobel Prize in Economic Science. This was in 1970, the second year of the award.

Although I had looked into EMH, I had also read most of the practical books I could find on investing, such as those by Graham and Dodd (1951), Darvas (1960), Thorp and Kassouf (1967), and Levy (1968). (I will describe the work of Darvas and Levy, who used relative strength momentum-based investing, in more detail in the next chapter.)

I was also familiar with some well-respected mutual fund managers, such as John Neff, William Ruane, Walter Schloss, and Max Heine, and I had an exceptional hedge fund manager as a client. All had consistently outperformed Mr. Market. I could not believe that outperformance by such astute investors was due only to chance or luck. The outcomes of these investors seemed clearly at odds with what academics were saying. While academics were extolling the virtues of EMH, practitioners like these were doing something completely different, and they were succeeding.

Andrew Lo, one of the first economists to look thoroughly at market pricing anomalies, tells how years ago he did some rigorous research on technical analysis. He identified predictable patterns in stock prices, which, to academics back then, was akin to voodoo. Lo presented his encouraging results to an MIT colleague who responded, “Your data must be wrong.”⁴

According to the “joint hypothesis,” one can only say if a market is or is not efficient with reference to a model of equilibrium returns. If such a model can predict the market, then either the model is wrong or markets are not efficient. Lo must have done some very good research for his colleague to think up a third alternative, that of erroneous data. In the words of Nietzsche, “convictions are more dangerous enemies of truth than lies.” EMH had become a belief system with many firm adherents, not unlike religion. George Soros (2003), the world’s most successful hedge fund manager, who has earned \$39.6 billion in net gains, called EMH “market fundamentalism.” Hallelujah! Throughout the 1970s and 1980s EMH ruled supreme.

Warren Buffett wrote in his 1988 Berkshire Hathaway chairman’s letter, “Amazingly, EMH was embraced not only by academics, but also by many investment professionals and corporate managers as well. Observing correctly that the market was *frequently* efficient, they went on to conclude that it was *always* efficient. The difference between these propositions is night and day.”⁵

Other indications also pointed away from perfectly efficient markets and investor rationality. These included premiums on closed-end fund and government-backed mortgage securities that are not arbitrated away, and ubiquitous market bubbles that imply substantial deviations of prices from intrinsic values over extended periods.

ALTERNATIVES TO PASSIVE INVESTING

Even though I knew that the market was very hard to beat, I came to believe that it was not impossible to do so. For better or worse, I took upon myself the formidable task of trying to identify and exploit true market anomalies and inefficiencies. Don Quixote, move over.

In the late 1970s, I had the idea, long before Long-Term Capital Management (LTCM), of managing a derivatives-based hedge fund. There were no publicly available data feeds back then, so I hired an electrical engineer to tear apart a quote machine, dump the data into a microprocessor, and then feed that into our office minicomputer. I formed partnerships with market makers on all the option exchange floors, did well initially, and then suffered the same fate as later befell LTCM. This was due to the same reasons of overleverage coupled with highly unusual events. I, however, did not reach the “limits of arbitrage” and require the intervention of the Federal Reserve to keep from destroying the world’s financial system.⁶ I tried to learn from that experience and move on, still convinced that there were anomalies out there ready to be exploited.

In the early 1980s, I had another promising idea and started commodities pools that used my own Bayesian-based portfolio optimization model to allocate capital to some of the world’s top traders such as Paul Tudor Jones, Louis Bacon, Richard Dennis, John W. Henry, Al Weiss, Tom Baldwin, and Jim Simons. These traders not only were very successful; their results were also largely uncorrelated to one another due to their different trading approaches and diverse portfolios. Portfolio optimization fit this situation to a tee, and my investment partnerships prospered.

As I watched Paul Tudor Jones trade for us, I came to know beyond any doubt that I had been correct in rejecting EMH. I felt fully vindicated in venturing outside the realm of efficient market theory. I did not know, however, if I would ever again find another opportunity that was the

rewarding.

However, I was motivated to keep looking. Commodity trading has capacity constraints. Some of the best traders end up returning investor funds and trading mostly their own accounts, which is what happened with several of my traders. In addition, the generous commodities risk premium that speculators enjoyed from the 1970s to the 1990s had largely dissipated by the 2000s. Speculative participation had risen sharply, and there were many more speculators around to share in the limited amount of risk premium provided by hedgers. It was time to move on. Little did I know at the time that it would take nearly 20 years before I would find another opportunity to exploit market trends using what is essentially the same principle—systematic price momentum.

THE TIDE STARTS TO TURN

By the 1990s, behavioral finance had become popular. With it came challenges to rational expectations and the EMH. The Nobel laureate Robert Shiller (1992) wrote, “This argument for the efficient market hypothesis represents one of the most remarkable errors in the history of economic thought. It is remarkable in the immediacy of its logical error and in the sweep and implications for its conclusions.”

Before then, prominent economists sometimes had to hide in the active management closet. Charlie Munger, Warren Buffett’s right-hand man, wrote, “One of the greatest economists of the world is a substantial shareholder in Berkshire Hathaway and has been for a long time. His textbook taught that the stock market was perfectly efficient, and that nobody could beat it. But his own money went into Berkshire Hathaway and made him wealthy.”⁷

According to *Fortune* magazine, that economist was the same Paul Samuelson whose bestselling textbook championed the cause of efficient markets and who had published an academic proof in support of EMH!⁸

Samuelson’s 1974 paper, “Challenge to Judgment,” is what inspired John Bogle of Vanguard to start the first public index fund in 1976. Afterward, Samuelson wrote the following about what some referred to as Bogle’s folly, “I rank this Bogle’s invention along with the invention of the wheel, the alphabet, Gutenberg printing, and wine and cheese; a mutual fund that never made Bogle rich but elevated the long-term returns of the mutual-fund owners. Something new under the sun.”⁹

So here we have Samuelson inspiring the first public index fund and then praising it to the high heavens, while Warren Buffett actively manages Samuelson’s own money. Maybe the wheel, the alphabet, and Gutenberg printing were not that great after all.

THE MOMENTUM ANOMALY

As more people began questioning EMH orthodoxy, an intriguing area started appearing in the academic literature. The burgeoning field of behavioral finance led some to question whether investors always acted rationally and in their own best interests. People acting in emotional and irrational ways could cause prices to depart systematically from their fundamental values in predictable ways. Maybe the markets could be beat after all, since irrational investors might allow anomalies to persist. In light of this possibility, momentum started to receive attention from the

academic community beginning in the early 1990s. Behavioral factors could be used to explain many of the characteristics of momentum.

After years of momentum research by many academics, even Eugene Fama and Kenneth French, two of the founders of EMH, began paying attention to momentum, which they called the “premium anomaly.”¹⁰ Momentum was powerful, persistent, and not explainable by any of the commonly known risk factors.

Not only did momentum research benefit from EMH losing its hold over modern finance, but the findings of momentum researchers added considerably to the body of knowledge that itself contradicted the efficient markets hypothesis.

The following chapters will show how I combined the best elements of academic momentum research, added a few ideas of my own, and came up with a simple and practical method for generating exceptional profits with less risk by using momentum. Furthermore, I will show how you can apply this methodology to the largest liquid markets having high expected long-run returns.

Before doing this, I will introduce you to the history of momentum theory so you can understand and appreciate momentum’s historical effectiveness and longevity. I will also show how momentum fits into the strange and mysterious world of modern finance. Then we will look at the logical underpinnings behind momentum to help you better understand how and why it works. Next, we will look at asset choices and alternative investment opportunities. I will then be ready to present a simple and effective momentum-based model that you can use.

After presenting my model, I will explore other momentum approaches and additional applications using dual momentum. By the end of the book, you should have a good understanding of momentum as well as everything you need to know in order to reap its rewards.

WHAT GOES UP . . . STAYS UP

It may be that the race is not always to the swift, nor the battle to the strong, but that's the way to bet.

—Damon Runyon

SO WHAT IS MOMENTUM? IT is the tendency of investments to persist in their performance. Investments that have done well will continue to do well, while those that have done poorly will continue to do poorly.

CLASSICAL IDEAS

Momentum investing has a long and distinguished history. I will take you through its evolution. The idea of momentum began with Newton's first law of motion: every object in a state of uniform motion tends to remain in that state of motion. It is unlikely Sir Isaac had investing in mind when he came up with this law. If he did, then he should have paid more attention to apples falling from trees and reminded himself that what goes up, must come back down. Newton lost a fortune in the South Sea stock bubble of 1718–1721 by buying too late and holding on too long. Newton afterward said, "I can calculate the movement of stars, but not the madness of men." Alas, poor Newton was not alone in that regard.

The first notable person to express momentum principles in investment terms was the great classical economist, David Ricardo. Ricardo wisely considered downside as well as upside momentum when he said in 1838, "Cut your losses; let your profits run on." Ricardo followed his own advice and retired at the age of 42, having amassed a fortune of \$65 million in today's dollars.

MOMENTUM IN THE EARLY TWENTIETH CENTURY

Momentum principles in the form of a disciplined investing style were alive and well early in the twentieth century. Momentum dominates much of the famous book *Reminiscences of a Stock Operator* by journalist Edwin Lefèvre (2010), originally written in 1923. It describes the thoughts and exploits of legendary trader Jesse Livermore. Livermore once said, "Big money is not in the individual fluctuations, but in sizing up the entire market and its trend." Trend following is a form of momentum investing. Livermore introduced the momentum idea of buying stocks when they are

making new highs. His statement “Prices are never too high to begin buying or too low to begin selling” accurately describes momentum style investing.

Richard Wyckoff also wrote books beginning in the 1920s that drew heavily on momentum principles. In his 1924 book, *How I Trade in Stocks and Bonds: Being Some Methods Evolved and Adapted During My Thirty-Three Years Experience in Wall Street*, Wyckoff advocated buying the strongest stocks within the strongest sectors and within the strongest index when they were trending up during the marking up phase of their accumulation-distribution cycle. Wyckoff used his ideas to amass a fortune in the stock market before he retired to a 9.5-acre estate and mansion in the Hamptons, next to Alfred P. Sloan, the legendary chairman of General Motors.

In his bestseller, *The Seven Pillars of Stock Market Success*, George Seaman (1933) recommended that traders buy stronger stocks during an advance and short weaker stocks during declines, which is very much in tune with relative strength momentum investing.

On the quantitative side of things, beginning in the late 1920s, Arnold Bernhard, founder of the Value Line Investment Survey, successfully used relative strength price momentum in conjunction with earnings growth momentum. According to the Value Line website, Group 1 stocks are those ranked highest in performance over the past year and that had accelerating earnings growth. From 1965 through 2012, Group 1 stocks had an average annual gain of 12.9% before dividends, while the S&P 500 gained 6.1%. Group 5 stocks had a -9.8% annual loss. Dividing a stock's latest 10-week average relative performance by its 52-week average relative price is the price momentum factor still used today by Value Line.

H. M. Gartley developed momentum-based relative velocity ratings in the 1920s. The Dow theorist Robert Rhea (1932) subsequently published these ratings in his book *The Dow Theory*. Gartley (1941) himself wrote an article titled “Relative Velocity Statistics: Their Application in Portfolio Analysis” for the *Financial Analysts Journal*. In that article Gartley wrote, “In addition to the usual valuation methods applied to stock, analysts should consider its velocity. The velocity statistic is a technical factor in the stock's price volatility that measures the percentage rise and fall of a stock against its average.” This was yet another way to express relative strength price momentum.

In his 1935 book *Profits in the Stock Market*, Gartley introduced the world to trend-following moving averages. Bernhardt and Gartley were both early pioneers of quantitative, rules-based momentum strategies.

The first truly scientific study and published academic paper on momentum was by Alfred Cowles III and Herbert E. Jones (1937). Cowles was a prominent economist who founded the Cowles Foundation for Economic Research, initially at the University of Chicago and now at Yale. There were no computers back then, so Cowles and Jones painstakingly hand-compiled stock performance statistics from 1920 through 1935. This was a remarkable accomplishment at that time. Cowles and Jones found that the strongest stocks during the preceding year had a very strong tendency to remain strong during the following year. In their own words, “Taking one year as the unit of measurement for the period 1920 to 1935, the tendency is very pronounced for stocks which have exceeded the median in one year to exceed it also in the year following.” The same basis underlies today's relative strength momentum approach to investing, and the conclusions of Cowles and Jones are just as valid today when they were first revealed in 1937.

MOMENTUM IN THE MID-TWENTIETH CENTURY

In the 1950s, George Chestnutt published a newsletter that ranked relative strength momentum of both stocks and industries. Here is some advice Chestnutt gave his newsletter readers:

Which is the best policy? To buy a strong stock that is leading the advance, or to shop around for a sleeper or behind-the-market stock in the hope that it will catch up? On the basis of statistics covering thousands of individual examples, the answer is very clear as to where the best probabilities lie. Many more times than not, it is better to buy the leaders and leave the laggards alone. In the market, as in many other phases of life, the strong get stronger, and the weak get weaker.

Chestnutt (1961) also wrote a book on relative strength investing and used this approach to manage successfully the American Investors Fund. From January 1958 through March 1964, this fund had a cumulative return of 160.5%, versus 82.6% for the Dow Jones Industrial Average.

Chestnutt never became well known, but another momentum investor and mutual fund manager of the time did. He was Jack Dreyfus, also known as the Lion of Wall Street.

Dreyfus began his career using a \$20,000 loan, and he retired a billionaire. Here is how he described his investment philosophy: “If you’ve got an escalator that’s going up, you’re better off betting on an individual on that escalator than on an individual on an escalator that’s going down.” Dreyfus bought stocks only when they broke to new highs off sound chart patterns. His Dreyfus Fund was up 604% from 1953 to 1964, compared to 346% for the Dow Jones Industrial Average.

Two small funds at Fidelity started to emulate Dreyfus’s investment technique. They were managed by Edward “Ned” Johnson II, the founder of Fidelity Management & Research in 1946, and Gerald Tsai, manager of the Fidelity Capital Fund. Tsai, a colorful figure, championed momentum and increased the popularity of momentum investing to the point that he became the first mutual fund manager to gain celebrity treatment in the press. He founded the Manhattan Fund in 1965, expecting to raise \$25 million in the fund’s first year. Instead, Tsai attracted \$275 million during the fund’s first day.

Dreyfus also influenced William O’Neil, publisher of *Investor’s Business Daily*. O’Neil’s motto was “buy the strong, sell the weak.” One of the key features of O’Neil’s well-known CAN SLIM method was to buy stocks that outperformed other stocks and sell those that underperformed. This idea is right out the momentum playbook. O’Neil said, “What seems too high in price and risky to the majority goes higher eventually, and what seems low and cheap usually goes lower.”¹ O’Neil’s book *How to Make Money in Stocks*, featuring his CAN SLIM approach, has sold over two million copies since 1988.

Also in the 1960s, Nicolas Darvas (1960) wrote several inspiring and entertaining books, including the popular *How I Made \$2,000,000 in the Stock Market*. The book describes his adventures as a professional dancer traveling the world while sending off buy and sell cables to his broker. Darvas would buy strong stocks making new highs, hold them until their momentum began to wane, and then replace them with new price leaders.

Gilbert Haller (1965) advocated a similar “strongest stocks” strategy in his book, *The Haller Theory of Stock Market Trends*. George Soros (2003) used a variation of momentum that he called positive feedback “reflexivity” in order to accumulate large profits with conglomerates and real estate investment trusts (REITs) in the 1960s and 1970s. According to Soros, buying begets further buying: a self-reinforcing process. We will see in [Chapter 4](#) that positive feedback trading due to behavioral factors is one of the key characteristics of momentum.

Momentum has always been the engine behind speculative commodity trading. Richard Donchian launched the first managed futures fund in 1949. Donchian thought price movements in stocks and commodities were often too optimistic or pessimistic because they reflected the emotions of the

people trading them. He believed that trend followers could profit from this overextension of prices.

In 1960, Donchian began a weekly commodities newsletter that featured his 5- and 20-day moving average trend-following system. His well-known 4-week channel breakout method inspired other great traders like Ed Seykota and Richard Dennis. Dennis taught his Turtle Traders a version of Donchian's channel breakout system, and a number of them went on to become very successful commodity trading advisors.² Seykota also trained a number of highly successful traders, such as Michael Marcovecchio and David Druz, and developed the first large-scale commercial computerized trading system. Jack Schwager said about Seykota in his first *Market Wizards* book, "the accounts Seykota managed have witnessed an absolutely astounding rate of return I know of no other trader who has his track record over the same length of time."³ Seykota later launched "Ed's Six Step Program" to help other trend traders.⁴

During the 1970s and 1980s, the torch of momentum investing passed to successful hedge fund managers who were often reticent to talk about their activities. One prominent momentum investor, however, was the outspoken philanthropist and mutual fund manager, Richard Driehaus.

Driehaus began his investment career in 1968. He manages over \$10 billion following a momentum strategy similar to the ones used by Darvas, Chestnutt, and Haller—rotational, relative strength investing using top-performing stocks. In 1970, *Barron's* named Driehaus to its "A Century" team of 25 persons who have been the most influential within the mutual fund industry over the past 100 years. Jack Schwager (2008) featured Driehaus in his book *The New Market Wizards*, and he did Peter Tanous (1999) in his book *Investment Gurus*. Here are a few quotes from Driehaus describing his momentum-based approach:

Perhaps the best-known investment paradigm is buy low, sell high. I believe that more money can be made by buying high and selling at even higher prices I try to buy stocks that have already had good price moves, that are already making new highs, and that have positive relative strength I always look for the best potential performance at the current time. Even if I think that a stock I hold will go higher, if I believe another stock will do significantly better in the interim, I will switch.

Even before serious academic research on momentum began in earnest in the 1990s, it was hard to dismiss the practical value and impressive results of relative strength momentum investing.

MODERN MOMENTUM

The first computer-based study of momentum was by Robert A. Levy (1967). Levy coined the phrase "relative strength," which is a good way to characterize this style of investing. Academics later renamed this systematic, quantitative approach "momentum," which is a more generic term also used by practitioners to mean buying strong stocks. Discretionary dot-com traders ("gunslingers" who thought a horse is easiest to ride in the direction it is already going) during the 1990s were often called momentum players. This confusion between systematic, rules-based momentum and seat-of-the-pants discretionary momentum persists even today. Levy's term "relative strength" is a much more accurate description of quantitative, rules-based momentum, but Levy presented his findings before momentum became respectable to the academic community. When academics caught on to momentum, they probably did not want to have their work associated with Levy. They preferred instead to engage in identity theft by changing the name from *relative strength* to *momentum*. They were either unaware that this term *momentum* was already being used by practitioners to mean

sample content of Dual Momentum Investing: An Innovative Strategy for Higher Returns with Lower Risk

- [Media, Society, World: Social Theory and Digital Media Practice pdf, azw \(kindle\)](#)
- [read Tapas: A Taste of Spain in America](#)
- [download American Gun: A History of the U.S. in Ten Firearms here](#)
- [The Trillion-Dollar Conspiracy How the New World Order, Man-Made Diseases, and Zombie Banks Are Destroying America online](#)
- **[Complete Works of Rudyard Kipling online](#)**
- [download online The Battle for the Falklands here](#)

- <http://www.rap-wallpapers.com/?library/Media--Society--World--Social-Theory-and-Digital-Media-Practice.pdf>
- <http://www.khoi.dk/?books/More-or-Less--Choosing-a-Lifestyle-of-Excessive-Generosity.pdf>
- <http://interactmg.com/ebooks/Suite-Fran--aise.pdf>
- <http://drmurphreesnewsletters.com/library/The-Trillion-Dollar-Conspiracy-How-the-New-World-Order--Man-Made-Diseases--and-Zombie-Banks-Are-Destroying-Amer>
- <http://serazard.com/lib/The-Colony--A-History-of-Early-Sydney.pdf>
- <http://www.khoi.dk/?books/The-Battle-for-the-Falklands.pdf>